PATENT COOPERATION TREATY 1 MAR 2000

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

	E LAKE LONDON		1	PCT	
I HASELTINE LAKE & (X).::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	RECEIVED WITH 2004		NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)		
OHCE I	() N	(dav/mor	mailing nuh/year)	23.07.2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference P81795PC00/ACC			IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION		
International application No. International filing date (c) PCT/EP 03/10245 15.09.2003		ay/month/ye	ear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.09.2002	
Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L M ERICSON et al.					

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Toscano, L

Tel. +49 89 2399-5747





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P81795PC00/ACC				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/EP 03/10245				International filing date (date 15.09.2003	emational filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 16.09.2002			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L25/02							
	Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L M ERICSON et al.							
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This	REPO	ORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including th	is cove	r sheet.		
	_					•	an alaima and for drawings which have	
		beer	amended and are the	basis for this report and 607 of the Administrati	or shee	ets containing r	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).	
-	Thes	e anr	nexes consist of a total of	of sheets.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					. •		
	1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	111		Priority		a valeu	inventive eten	and industrial applicability	
	III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention				and industrial applicability			
	 IV					nventive step or industrial applicability;		
	VI		Certain documents cit	ed				
	VII Certain defects in the internal			• •			·	
	VIII		Certain observations	on the international app	lication			
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report					his report			
29.0	29.03.2004				23.0	7.2004		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					rized Officer	Arether Petantono.		
	<u>)</u>	Eu D-l Te	ropean Patent Office 80298 Munich I. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5230 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d		ínez Martínez hone No. +49 89	` \ \ 	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/10245

 Ba 	ısis	of	the	re	pot	t
------------------------	------	----	-----	----	-----	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-9	•	as originally filed				
•	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	1-10	• •	as originally filed				
	Drav	wings, Sheets	\cdot .				
	1/1		as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in th language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publi	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucle mational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequen	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequen	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furnit	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
		•					

PCT/EP 03/10245

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

5. 🗆		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
		(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-10 No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-10

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/10245

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- Reference is made to the following document: 1
 - D1: LINDOFF B: "Using a direct conversion receiver in edge terminals a new DC offset compensation algorithm" 11TH IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON PERSONAL INDOOR AND MOBILE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS, 18 September 2000 (2000-09-18), - 21 September 2000 (2000-09-21) XP010520777 London, UK,
- The independent claims 1 and 8 contain terms which have no well-recognised 2. meaning in the technical field of channel estimation, leaving the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer and thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT. These terms are the following: "trend matrix", "path-trend vector" and "neutralized regression matrix".
- 3. Despite of the clarity problems cited above, if the unclear terms are interpreted in the light of the description (see pages 5 to 8) the claims contain new, inventive and industrially applicable subject matter according to Article 33 (2), (3) and (4) PCT. The details are given in the following.
- 3.1 The application relates to a method (claims 1 and 8) to estimate and remove the DC offset in a GSM/EDGE receiver.
- 3.2 Such a method are disclosed by D1, which is considered the closest prior art.
- 3.3 The subject-matter of independent claims essentially differs from D1, in that D1 does not use "neutralized" (meaning DC-free) inputs and outputs for compensation of the DC offset. D1 represents the prior art solution to the problem which consists on estimating jointly DC offset and radio channel.
- 3.4 The problem solved by these new features can be considered as how to avoid the computational complexity which is inherent to the joint estimation method. The solution proposed by the application allows for a separate estimation with the additional advantage that the path trend vectors can be precalculated.
- 3.5 The new features are neither disclosed nor rendered obvious by any of the documents cited in the International Search Report. There are no indications that

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/10245

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

a skilled person would address the problem. Therefore, the present solution should be considered as inventive.